arXiv:0711.2868v1 [math.AP] 19 Nov 2007

WEIGHTED SOBOLEV L^2 ESTIMATES FOR A CLASS OF FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATORS

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY AND MITSURU SUGIMOTO

Abstract. In this paper we develop elements of the global calculus of Fourier integral operators in $\mathbb{R}^n$ under minimal decay assumptions on phases and amplitudes. We also establish global weighted Sobolev L^2 estimates for a class of Fourier integral operators that appears in the analysis of global smoothing problems for dispersive partial differential equations. As an application, we exhibit a new type of smoothing estimates for hyperbolic equations, where the decay of data in space is quantitatively translated into the time decay of solutions.

1. Introduction

The paper will deal with a class of Fourier integral operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that appears in the analysis of smoothing problems for dispersive evolution equations, such as hyperbolic, Schrödinger, KdV, and others.

Apart from this motivation, we are interested in global properties of the calculus of pseudo-differential and Fourier integral operators under minimal assumptions. This follows a general line of research for deriving regularity properties of pseudo-differential operators under minimal assumptions on the symbols. Global L^2 boundedness properties of pseudo-differential operators have been thoroughly investigated in the literature (e.g. Calderón and Vaillancourt [CV], Childs [Ch], Coifman and Meyer [CM], Cordes [Co], Sugimoto [Su], etc.). These results have been later extended to classes of Fourier integral operators by the authors in [RS]. Local L^p and other estimates for non-degenerate Fourier integral operators are also quite well understood, see e.g. the survey paper by the first author [R].

Results on the global L^2 boundedness of Fourier integral operators in $\mathbb{R}^n$ appeared in the papers of Asada and Fujiwara [AF], Kumano-go [Ku], Boulkhemair [Bo], with different assumptions on the regularity of phases and amplitudes. In all these papers the assumption was made that the second order derivatives of the phase with respect to the frequency variables must be globally bounded. Unfortunately, this assumption fails in applications to global smoothing problems, and it was recently removed by the authors in [RS4].

The method of dealing with dispersive equations by globally reducing them to normal forms has been developed by the authors in [RS2]. This method proved to be very effective for a variety of equations. For example, a critical case of the Kato–Yajima [KY] smoothing estimate for Schrödinger equations was proved in [RS3] by globally reducing the estimate to a model case with useful additional commutator properties. The same strategy was later developed in [RS6] to treat smoothing estimates

---

Date: February 2, 2008.

The first author was supported by the JSPS Invitational Research Fellowship.

for general dispersive equations with constant and time-dependent coefficients. Instead of previously used spectral methods or dual restriction theorems from harmonic analysis, the approach of [RS2]–[RS5] relies on global L^2 estimates for the canonical transforms that in turn can be realised as a class of globally defined Fourier integral operators in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, smoothing estimates themselves are essentially global weighted Sobolev L^2 estimates for solutions to dispersive equations, and together with Strichartz estimates they constitute the main tools for dealing with time well-posedness questions for nonlinear evolution equations.

Transforming smoothing estimates by the method of canonical transforms leads to the necessity to analyse weighted Sobolev estimates for Fourier integral operators. In [RS4], the authors investigated questions of L^2 and weighted L^2 boundedness for the appearing operators. In Theorem 3.5 of this paper we will now give a result in weighted Sobolev spaces over L^2. This result will rely on a global calculus which is developed in Section 2. Rudiments of such estimates without asymptotic formulae but with estimates for remainders appeared in [RS3], while elements of the calculus (without proofs) were announced in [RS5]. In this sense, the present paper is the continuation and the development of the subjects of authors' papers [RS3] and [RS4]. In particular, the first part of this paper will provide a calculus background to the better understanding of estimates of the remainders in [RS3], this allowing further applications to similar problems with certain structures in operators.

Thus, the first aim of this paper is to develop the calculus necessary for these purposes, at the same time investigating the independent question of what are the minimal decay assumptions for the global calculus to exist. Consequently, we are also interested in global weighted estimates for Fourier integral operators in Sobolev spaces, and in applications to smoothing problems. This is especially important in the investigation of global smoothing properties for equations with variable coefficients, a topic that will be treated in detail elsewhere.

We will be dealing with operators T that can be globally defined by

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)}a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dy\bar{d}\xi, \tag{1.1}$$

with a real-valued phase function ϕ and a smooth amplitude $a(x,y,\xi)$, satisfying estimates

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3}, \text{ for all } x,y,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all multi-indices α,β,γ. Here and in the sequel we use the notation $\bar{d}\xi = (2\pi)^{-n}d\xi$ and $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$. As usual, we understand these operators as limits in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\epsilon\to 0$, of operators with amplitudes $a_\epsilon(x,y,\xi) = a(x,y,\xi)\gamma(\epsilon y,\epsilon\xi)$, where $\gamma\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ equals 1 near the origin. These operators can be naturally extended to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will adopt the minus sign in the exponent for some technical convenience, and also in order to make the exposition directly applicable to pseudo-differential operators, thus providing new weighted Sobolev estimates for pseudo-differential operators as well.

Let us note that operators with amplitude $a(x,y,\xi) = a(x,\xi)$ independent of y, and with representation (1.1) locally in x, appear as solutions to the Cauchy problem for strictly hyperbolic equations. Their properties have been studied extensively (see, e.g. Kumano-go [Ku1], and also Stein [St, Chapter IX]). A global in x representation with amplitude satisfying some decay has been also used to study global properties of solutions to the Cauchy problem. Thus, problems with phases and amplitudes in so-called SG–classes were investigated by Coriasco [Cor]. In [BBR], Boggiatto, Buzano and Rodino investigated global problems with phases and amplitudes from some polynomial classes associated to the Newton polygon of the hyperbolic operator. In comparison with these results, we will impose much less restrictive conditions on phases and amplitudes. In addition, from our theorems on compositions, it will be easy to see that when the original amplitude satisfies some additional decay conditions, the amplitude of the composition will satisfy the same conditions too. In this way we will automatically recover global calculi developed for SG–operators by Coriasco [Cor], for operators with certain polynomial weights by Boggiatto, Buzano, and Rodino [BBR], and to a certain extent for operators of Gelfand–Shilov type by Cappiello [Ca], as special cases of our calculus. Below we will give an example of such an argument.

A special case of operator T occurs when $\phi(x,\xi) = x\cdot\xi$. In this case T is a pseudo-differential operator. In Corollary 2.10 we will show that if derivatives of the amplitude $a(x,y,\xi)$ decay in the second variable, T can be defined by an amplitude $p(x,\xi)$ of order m_1+m_2 in x, and m_3 in ξ, in the usual form of pseudo-differential operators.

To model weighted Sobolev spaces, we will use a convenient class of operators, the so-called SG pseudo-differential operators. We will say that $p = p(x,\xi) \in SG^{t_1,t_2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ if p is smooth and if for all α,β we have the estimate

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{t_1-|\beta|}\langle \xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|}, \text{ for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

The corresponding pseudo-differential operator $P\in Op(SG^{t_1,t_2})$ is defined by

$$Pu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} p(x,\xi)u(y)dy đ\xi. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that in principle one can consider more general SG–amplitudes $a(x,y,\xi)$ instead of $p(x,\xi)$ in (1.2), i.e. smooth functions a, for some $m_1,m_2,m_3\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \partial_y^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{s_1-|\beta|}\langle y\rangle^{s_2-|\gamma|}\langle \xi\rangle^{s_3-|\alpha|}, \text{ for all } x,y,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

and all multi-indices α,β,γ. In [Co2], Cordes shows that such symbols can be reduced to $p = p(x,\xi)\in SG^{s_1+s_2,s_3}$ with an asymptotic formula

$$p(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\alpha a(x,y,\xi)|_{y=x}.$$

Let us show that we automatically recover such (and other similar) results in the framework of our calculus. Indeed, from the asymptotic formula of Corollary 2.9 we see that if the amplitude $a(x,y,\xi)$ is an SG–amplitude, then $p(x,\xi)$ must be an SG–amplitude as well. This type of argument can be used with different decay assumptions (such as polynomial, quasi-homogeneous, Gelfand–Shilov, etc.), to yield similar conclusions.

We note also that the adjoint operator T^* of T from (1.1) is given by

$$T^* v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi-\phi(y,\xi))}\overline{a(y,x,\xi)}v(y)dy đ\xi,$$

and it is operators of this type that appear in global smoothing problems ([RS2]–[RS4]).

In Section 2 we will develop elements of the global calculus dealing with global compositions of operators (1.1) with pseudo-differential operators. In the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we will assume that all integrations by parts are carried out even number of times, so that we do not have to keep track of the sign in front of the integral. Since we make a choice to represent the phase not symmetrically with respect to x and y, we will have non-symmetric statements also in our theorems. Thus, we will have two different versions of composition formulae for $T \circ P$ based on whether we prefer to keep an arbitrary phase (as in Theorem 2.1) or to have the amplitude dependent on less variables (as in Theorem 2.8). For the composition $P \circ T$ pseudo-differential operator P interacts with the phase of T, and we will have Theorem 2.5 dealing with this case. In terms of the growth properties of the phase function, we will usually think of a typical situation for Fourier integral operators when the phase ϕ is of order one in both x and ξ and the growth may be cancelled once we take a derivative (for precise assumptions see the corresponding theorems).

In Section 3 we will apply the established calculus to derive weighted Sobolev L^2 estimates for our classes of Fourier integral operators. This will extend weighted L^2 estimates established by the authors in [RS4] to weighted Sobolev spaces over L^2, the setting important for smoothing problems.

In Section 4 we will apply the calculus and weighted estimates to establish a number of new smoothing estimates for hyperbolic equations, relating time and space decay of solution to that of the Cauchy data. Smoothing estimates for dispersive equations have been thoroughly analysed in the literature (e.g. [BD], [BK], [CS], [KY], [RS6], [Si], [Sj], [V], [Wa], and many other papers). The idea is that integration of the solution to an evolution equation with respect to time brings an improvement in the regularity with respect to x. This is a phenomenon similar to the one exhibited in Strichartz estimates where one observes an improved behaviour in the scale of L^p spaces. Smoothing estimates are centred at the improvement in Sobolev regularity in L^2, and this improvement depends on the order of the evolution equation. Moreover, for applications to the time well-posedness problems for nonlinear equations, one is looking for global smoothing estimates, in which one inserts additional weights to make estimates work globally in space–time. In this respect, the class of hyperbolic equations can be viewed as the endpoint case for smoothing estimates, in the sense that there is no improvement in regularity, but a question of the weight remains. In fact, the problem of finding the weight can be actually viewed as the most important here, since the method developed by the authors in [RS6] allows to extend such estimates further to other evolution equations (e.g. to Schrödinger, KdV, and others), automatically gaining the improvement in the regularity with respect to x. Estimates presented in Theorem 4.1 are a new type of estimates for hyperbolic equations that we can derive using the global calculus developed in the first part of the paper.

If we do not specify the domain of integration, it will always mean that the integration is performed over the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$. As usual, we will denote $D^\alpha = i^{-|\alpha|}\partial_\alpha$. We also denote $\bar{d}\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ and $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. Constants will be usually denoted by letter C, and their values may differ on different occasions.

2. Composition with pseudo-differential operators

In this section we will study the composition of T with pseudo-differential operators. Let T be given by (1.1) and let P be given by (1.2). The composition PT is then of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(PTu)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\eta} p(x,\eta)(Tu)(y)dy đ\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i((x-y)\cdot\eta+\phi(y,\xi)-z\cdot\xi)} p(x,\eta)a(y,z,\xi)u(z)dzđ\xi dyđ\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-z\cdot\xi)} c_1(x,z,\xi)u(z)dzđ\xi,\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$c_1(x,z,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(y,\xi)-\phi(x,\xi)+(x-y)\cdot\eta)} a(y,z,\xi)p(x,\eta)dyđ\eta. \tag{2.2}$$

The composition TP is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(TPu)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)} a(x,y,\xi)(Pu)(y)dyđ\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi+(y-z)\cdot\eta)} a(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)u(z)dzđ\eta dyđ\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\eta)-z\cdot\eta)} c_2(x,z,\eta)u(z)dzđ\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$c_2(x,z,\eta) = c_2(x,\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-\phi(x,\eta)+y\cdot(\eta-\xi))} a(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)dyđ\xi. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that this representation of TP makes the amplitude dependent on two variables only (independent of z). This will be used later in Corollary 2.9. We also note that both amplitudes c_1 and c_2 are of the same type, if we interchange variables x, y with variables ξ, η, respectively. The difference is that the integration is carried out with respect to one or two variables of the amplitude a in formulae for c_1 and c_2, respectively. We will use this fact and will treat both cases simultaneously in Lemma 2.3.

Let us now use another representation of TP to obtain a slightly different estimate for its amplitude. In fact, one can readily see that we can represent TP as

$$(TPu)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-z\cdot\xi)} c(x,z,\xi)u(z)dzđ\xi,$$

with amplitude

$$c(x,z,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)} a(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)dyđ\eta. \tag{2.5}$$

Note that in comparison with representation (2.3)–(2.4), the amplitude here depends on three variables, but there is no entry of the phase. This allows to treat more general phases and amplitudes, leading to the following:

Theorem 2.1 (Composition TP). *Let operator T be defined by*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)} a(x,y,\xi)u(y)\,dy\,đ\xi.$$

Let the phase $\phi = \phi(x,\xi)$ be any function and $a = a(x,y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},\ \textit{for all } x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.6}$$

for all α, β, γ. Let $p = p(y,\eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all α, β satisfy the estimate

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta p(y,\eta)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle y\rangle^{t_1}\langle \eta\rangle^{t_2-|\beta|},\ \textit{for all } y,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.7}$$

Then the composition $B = T \circ P(x,D)$ is an operator of the form

$$(TPu)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-z\cdot\xi)} c(x,z,\xi)u(z)dz đ\xi,$$

with amplitude $c(x,z,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2}, \tag{2.8}$$

for all α, β, γ and all $x, z, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion, improving in ξ:

$$c(x,z,\xi) \sim \sum_\alpha \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}\partial_y^\alpha \left[a(x,y,\xi)\partial_\xi^\alpha p(y,\xi)\right]|_{y=z}.$$

Definition 2.1 (**Improving asymptotic expansions**). In this paper, when we say that the asymptotic expansion $a \sim \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j$ is improving in ξ, it will always mean that for every α, β, γ and M there exist N and C such that

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma \left(a - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j\right)\right| \le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3-M},\ \text{for all } x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Similarly, we can define expansions improving in x or in y.

Remark 2.2. It is clear that if a has additional decay with respect to some variables, so does the new amplitude. For example, if we assume stronger estimate

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1-|\alpha|}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},\ \text{for all } x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

instead of (2.6), then we can improve estimate (2.8) as

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1-|\alpha|}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly, if we assume

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2-|\beta|}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},\ \text{for all } x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta p(y,\eta)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle y\rangle^{t_1-|\alpha|}\langle \eta\rangle^{t_2-|\beta|}\ \text{for all } y,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.11}$$

instead of estimates (2.6) and (2.7), then we can improve estimate (2.8) as

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1-|\beta|}\langle \xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2}.$$

In fact, from the expression (2.5), we obtain

$$\langle x\rangle^\alpha \partial_x^\alpha c(x,z,\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}\langle x\rangle^\alpha(\partial_x^\alpha a)(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)dy đ\eta,$$

and the conclusion (2.9) follows from (2.8) if we regard $\langle x\rangle^\alpha(\partial_x^\alpha a)(x,y,\xi)$ as $a(x,y,\xi)$ in (2.6). The same argument is true for the case of assumptions (2.10) and (2.11) since we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{z_j}c(x,z,\xi)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}(\partial_{y_j}a)(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)dy đ\eta\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}a(x,y,\xi)(\partial_{y_j}p)(y,\eta)dy đ\eta,\\ z_jc(x,z,\xi)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}y_ja(x,y,\xi)p(y,\eta)dy đ\eta\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}a(x,y,\xi)(\partial_{\eta_j}p)(y,\eta)dy đ\eta,\end{aligned}$$

from the expression (2.5) again. From this we can conclude estimate (2.9) similar to the argument before.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\chi=\chi(y,z)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\chi(y,z)=1$ for $|y-z|<k/2$, and $\chi(y,z)=0$ for $|y-z|>k$, for some small $0<k<1$ to be chosen later. Using this cut-off function, we decompose $c=c^I+c^{II}$, where c^I and c^{II} are of the form (2.5), but with amplitude a replaced by amplitudes $a^I=(1-\chi)a$ and $a^{II}=\chi a$, respectively.

1. Estimate for $|y-z|\geq k$. We integrate by parts with transposes of operators ${}^tL_\eta=-|y-z|^{-2}\Delta_\eta$ and ${}^tL_y=\langle\xi\rangle^{-2}(1-\Delta_y)$, for which we have

$$({}^tL_\eta)^{N_1}e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}=e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)},\quad ({}^tL_y)^{N_1}e^{-i(y-z)\cdot\xi}=e^{-i(y-z)\cdot\xi}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}c^I(x,z,\xi)&=\iint e^{-i(y-z)\cdot\xi}L_y^{N_2}\left[e^{i(y-z)\cdot\eta}a^I(x,y,\xi)L_\eta^{N_1}p(y,\eta)\right]dy đ\eta\\ &=\iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot(\eta-\xi)}e^{-i(y-z)\cdot\eta}L_y^{N_2}\left[e^{i(y-z)\cdot\eta}a^I(x,y,\xi)L_\eta^{N_1}p(y,\eta)\right]dy đ\eta\\ &=\iint e^{-iz\cdot(\eta-\xi)}\frac{(1-\Delta_\eta)^{N_3}}{\langle z\rangle^{2N_3}}\times\\ &\quad\times\left[e^{iy\cdot(\eta-\xi)}e^{-i(y-z)\cdot\eta}L_y^{N_2}\left[e^{i(y-z)\cdot\eta}a^I(x,y,\xi)L_\eta^{N_1}p(y,\eta)\right]\right]dy đ\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we use that after all differentiations, $e^{i(y-z)\cdot\eta}$ in the last integral cancels, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|c^I(x,z,\xi)| &\le C \iint_{|y-z|>k} \langle z\rangle^{-2N_3}\langle y\rangle^{2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2N_2}\langle\eta\rangle^{2N_2}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3}\times \\
&\qquad\quad \times |y-z|^{-2N_1}\langle y\rangle^{t_1}\langle\eta\rangle^{t_2-2N_1}dyd\eta \\
&\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{-2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3-2N_2}\int_{|y-z|>k}\frac{\langle y\rangle^{2N_3+m_2+t_1}}{|y-z|^{2N_1}}dy\int\langle\eta\rangle^{2N_2+t_2-2N_1}d\eta \\
&\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{-2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3-2N_2}\int_{|y|>k}\frac{\langle y+z\rangle^{2N_3+m_2+t_1}}{|y|^{2N_1}}dy \\
&\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3-2N_2}\int_{|y|>k}\langle y\rangle^{2N_3+m_2+t_1-2N_1}dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate $\langle y+z\rangle \le C\langle y\rangle\langle z\rangle$ for N_3 such that $2N_3+m_2+t_1>0$. This gives the desired estimate for c^I for $N_1 \gg N_3, N_2$, and $N_2 > m_3$.

For the estimate of derivatives of $c^I(x,z,\xi)$ we first note that derivatives with respect to x do not have any effect on our estimates. Derivatives with respect to z introduce the factor of $\eta-\xi$, which is taken care of by choosing large N_1, N_2. Derivatives with respect to ξ may introduce the factor of $y-z$, which is taken care of by taking large N_1.

2. Estimate for $|y-z|<k$. We set $\eta=\xi+\theta$, so the Taylor expansion of p with respect to η gives

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y,\xi+\theta) &= \sum_{|\alpha|<M}\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha p(y,\xi) + \sum_{|\alpha|=M} C_\alpha\theta^\alpha r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta), \\
r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta) &= \int_0^1 (1-t)^M\partial_\xi^\alpha p(y,\xi+t\theta)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
c^{II}(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{(y-z)\cdot\theta}\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)p(y,\xi+\theta)dy\,\text{đ}\theta \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|<M}\frac{1}{\alpha!}c_\alpha(x,z,\xi) + \sum_{|\alpha|=M} C_\alpha R_\alpha(x,z,\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\alpha(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}\theta^\alpha\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)dy\,\text{đ}\theta, \\
c_\alpha(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{(y-z)\cdot\theta}\theta^\alpha\left[\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)\partial_\xi^\alpha p(y,\xi)\right]dy\,\text{đ}\theta \\
&= D_y^\alpha\left[\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)\partial_\xi^\alpha p(y,\xi)\right]|_{y=z}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we readily obtain

$$|c_\alpha(x,z,\xi)| \le \langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2-|\alpha|}.$$

It is easy to see that derivatives of c_α satisfy the same estimates. For the asymptotic formula we note that $\partial_y^\alpha\chi(y,z)|_{y=z}=0$ for all $\alpha\neq 0$, so all derivatives must fall on the product $a\,\partial_\xi^\alpha p$. Finally, it is easy to see that derivatives of c_α satisfy similar estimates as c_α.

3. Estimate for the remainder R_α. Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \le \rho \le 1$, $\rho(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \epsilon/2$ and $\rho(x) = 0$ for $|x| > \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ to be chosen later. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha(x,z,\xi) &= R_\alpha^I(x,z,\xi) + R_\alpha^{II}(x,z,\xi) \\ &= \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}\theta^\alpha \rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)dy đ\theta \\ &+ \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}\theta^\alpha \left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right)\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)dy đ\theta. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Estimate for $|\theta| \le \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, for any $0 \le t \le 1$, $\langle\xi + t\theta\rangle$ and $\langle\xi\rangle$ are equivalent, which means that $|\partial_y^\beta r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta| \le C\langle y\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|}$, for $|\theta| \le \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$, and all α, β. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha^I(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}D_y^\alpha\left[\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)\right]dy đ\theta, \\ |R_\alpha^I(x,z,\xi)| &\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2-|\alpha|}\int_{|\theta|<\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle}d\theta\int_{|y-z|<k}\langle y\rangle^{m_2+t_1}dy \\ &\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2-|\alpha|+n}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields estimate (2.14) for $M = |\alpha| \ge n$ and large z.

3.2. Estimate for $|\theta| > \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$. Here we will use that fact that for $M = |\alpha| \ge t_2$, the function $r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)$ and its derivatives can be estimated by $\langle y\rangle^{t_1}$. We will integrate by parts with operator $L_y = -|\theta|^{-2}\Delta_y$, for which we have $L^N e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta} = e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha^{II}(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}D_y^\alpha\left[\left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right)\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)\right]dy đ\theta \\ &= \iint e^{i(y-z)\cdot\theta}|\theta|^{-2N}\Delta_y^N D_y^\alpha \\ &\qquad \left[\left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right)\chi(y,z)a(x,y,\xi)r_\alpha(y,\xi,\theta)\right]dy đ\theta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |R_\alpha^{II}(x,z,\xi)| &\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3}\int_{|\theta|>\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle}|\theta|^{-2N}d\theta\int_{|y-z|<k}\langle y\rangle^{m_2+t_1}dy \\ &\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+n-2N}\langle z\rangle^{m_2+t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Derivatives of $R_\alpha^I, R_\alpha^{II}$ with respect to z and ξ may introduce additional powers of θ, which amounts to taking more y-derivatives under the integral and does not change the estimates. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Now we will prove a lemma which will be used in deriving estimates for amplitudes in compositions PT and TP in (2.1)–(2.4). For this purpose, we introduce amplitudes that may depend on four sets of variables, and we will be able to choose different sets when dealing with different composition formulae in the sequel.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\psi = \psi(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *be such that*

$$C_1\langle\xi\rangle \le \langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)\rangle \le C_2\langle\xi\rangle, \text{ for all } x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.12}$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$*, and such that for all* $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ge 1$ *we have*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \psi(x,\xi)| \le C_\alpha\langle\xi\rangle, \;\; |\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\psi(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}, \text{ for all } x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.13}$$

Let $b = b(y,\xi,z,\eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4n})$ *satisfy*

$$|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\partial_z^\gamma\partial_\eta^\delta b(y,\xi,z,\eta)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\eta\rangle^{m_4-|\delta|},$$

for all $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$*, and all* $y,\xi,z,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$*. Let* $q = q(x,\eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *for all* α,β *satisfy*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\eta^\beta q(x,\eta)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\eta\rangle^{t_2-|\beta|}, \text{ for all } x,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then the function

$$c(x,z,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi)+(x-y)\cdot\eta)} b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)\,dy\,đ\eta$$

satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1+t_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+t_2+m_4}, \tag{2.14}$$

for all α,β,γ*, and all* $x,z,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$*. Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion, improving in* ξ*:*

$$c(x,z,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{i^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{\alpha!\beta!}\partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))\partial_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}\partial_\eta^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))\right]|_{y=x}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\Psi(x,y,\xi) = \psi(y,\xi) - \psi(x,\xi) + (x-y)\cdot\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)$.

Proof. Let $\chi = \chi(x,y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $0 \le \chi \le 1$, $\chi(x,y) = 1$ for $|x-y| < k/2$ and $\chi(x,y) = 0$ for $|x-y| > k$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} c(x,z,\xi) &= c^I(x,z,\xi) + c^{II}(x,z,\xi) \\ &= \iint e^{i(\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi)+(x-y)\cdot\eta)}(1-\chi(x,y))b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)\,dy\,đ\eta \\ &+ \iint e^{i(\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi)+(x-y)\cdot\eta)}\chi(x,y)b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)\,dy\,đ\eta. \end{aligned}$$

1. Estimate for $|x-y| \ge k$. Here we will integrate by parts with two operators,

$${}^tL_\eta = -i\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j-y_j}{|x-y|^2}\partial_{\eta_j}, \quad {}^tL_y = \frac{1-\Delta_y}{\langle\nabla_y\psi(y,\xi)\rangle^2 - i\Delta_y\psi(y,\xi)},$$

so that we have $L_\eta^{N_1}e^{i(x-y)\cdot\eta} = e^{i(x-y)\cdot\eta}$, $L_y^{N_2}e^{i\psi(y,\xi)} = e^{i\psi(y,\xi)}$. Note that in view of our assumption (2.12) on ψ, we have

$$|\langle\nabla_y\psi(y,\xi)\rangle^2 - i\Delta_y\psi(y,\xi)| \ge |\langle\nabla_y\psi(y,\xi)\rangle|^2 \ge C_1\langle\xi\rangle^2.$$

Therefore,

$$c^I(x,z,\xi) = \iint e^{i(\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi)+x\cdot\eta))}L_y^{N_2}\left(e^{-iy\cdot\eta}L_\eta^{N_1}\left[(1-\chi(x,y))b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)\right]\right)dy\,đ\eta.$$

Further, we integrate by parts with operator $(1+|x|^2)^{-1}(1-\Delta_\eta)$, for which $(1+|x|^2)^{-1}(1-\Delta_\eta)e^{ix\cdot\eta}=e^{ix\cdot\eta}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} c^I(x,z,\xi)=\iint & e^{ix\cdot\eta}e^{i(\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi))}\langle x\rangle^{-2N_3}(1-\Delta_\eta)^{N_3}L_y^{N_2} \\ & \left(e^{-iy\cdot\eta}L_\eta^{N_1}\left[(1-\chi(x,y))b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)\right]\right)dy\,đ\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since N_1 η-derivatives of $b(y,\xi,z,\eta)q(x,\eta)$ in view of our assumptions give an improvement in η by $\langle\eta\rangle^{-N_1}$, we get

(2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} |c^I(x,z,\xi)| \leq & \int\int_{|x-y|>k}\langle x\rangle^{-2N_3}\langle y\rangle^{2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2N_2}\langle\eta\rangle^{2N_2} \\ & \quad |x-y|^{-2N_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\eta\rangle^{m_4+t_2-N_1}dyd\eta \\ & \leq C\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle x\rangle^{t_1-2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2-2N_2}\int\langle\eta\rangle^{2N_2+m_4+t_2-N_1}d\eta\int_{|x-y|>k}\frac{\langle y\rangle^{m_1+2N_3}}{|x-y|^{2N_1}}dy \\ & \leq C\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle x\rangle^{t_1-2N_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2-2N_2}\int_{|y|>k}\frac{\langle y+x\rangle^{m_1+2N_3}}{|y|^{2N_1}}dy \\ & \leq C\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle x\rangle^{t_1+m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2-2N_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\langle y+x\rangle\leq C\langle y\rangle\langle x\rangle$ with N_3 such that $m_1+2N_3>0$, and $2N_1>m_1+2N_3+n, 2N_2+m_4+t_2+n$, to make both integrals finite.

Derivatives with respect to z do not change estimates. Derivatives with respect to x and ξ may introduce factors estimated by powers of $\langle\xi\rangle, \langle\eta\rangle$ and $|y-x|$, which can be taken care of by choosing large N_1, N_2.

2. Estimate for $|x-y|<k$. We will make a Taylor expansion of $q(x,\eta)$ and $b(y,\xi,z,\eta)$ in $\eta=\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)+\theta$:

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,\eta) &= \sum_{|\alpha|<M_1}\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\alpha!}\partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))+\sum_{|\alpha|=M_1}C_\alpha\theta^\alpha r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta), \\ b(y,\xi,z,\eta) &= \sum_{|\beta|<M_2}\frac{\theta^\beta}{\beta!}\partial_\eta^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))+\sum_{|\beta|=M_2}C_\beta\theta^\beta s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta), \\ r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta) &= \int_0^1(1-t)^{M_1}\partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)+t\theta)dt, \\ s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta) &= \int_0^1(1-t)^{M_2}\partial_\xi^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)+t\theta)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Let

$$\Psi(x,y,\xi)=\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi)+(x-y)\cdot\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi).$$

Then

$$c^{II}(x,z,\xi)=\sum_{|\alpha|<M_1,|\beta|<M_2}\frac{1}{\alpha!\beta!}c_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)+\sum_{|\alpha|=M_1,|\beta|=M_2}C_\alpha C_\beta R_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)} \theta^{\alpha+\beta} \chi(x,y) s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\, dy\,\bar{d}\theta, \\ c_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi) &= \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}\theta^{\alpha+\beta} \times \\ &\qquad \times \left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)} \chi(x,y) \partial_\eta^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)) \partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)) \right] dy\,\bar{d}\theta \\ &= \partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)) D_y^{\alpha+\beta} \left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)} \chi(x,y) \partial_\eta^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)) \right] |_{y=x}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y=x$ is the zero of order two of $\Psi(x,y,\xi)$, it follows that $\nabla_y e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}|_{y=x} = 0$. Inductively, it follows from (2.13) that $|\partial_y^\gamma e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}|_{y=x}| \le C\langle\xi\rangle^{|\gamma|/2}$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)| &\le C\langle x\rangle^{t_1} \langle \nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|} \langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} \langle x\rangle^{m_1} \langle\xi\rangle^{m_2} \langle z\rangle^{m_3} \langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)\rangle^{m_4-|\beta|} \\ &\le C\langle x\rangle^{m_1+t_1} \langle z\rangle^{m_3} \langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+m_4+t_2-\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)\rangle \sim \langle\xi\rangle$ by (2.12). Derivatives of $c_{\alpha\beta}$ with respect to x or ξ do not change estimates when applied to q or b by assumptions of the lemma. When applied to $\partial_y^{\alpha+\beta} e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}|_{y=x}$ they do not change estimates since $|\partial_\xi^\beta \partial_x^\alpha \psi(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta}$.

3. Estimates for the remainder $R_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\rho(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \epsilon/2$ and $\rho(x) = 0$ for $|x| > \epsilon$, for some small $\epsilon > 0$ to be chosen later. We decompose

(2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi) &= R_\alpha^I(x,z,\xi) + R_\alpha^{II}(x,z,\xi) \\ &= \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} \rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right) D_y^{\alpha+\beta} \left[\chi(x,y) s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right] dy\,\bar{d}\theta \\ &+ \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} \left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right) D_y^{\alpha+\beta} \left[\chi(x,y) s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right] dy\,\bar{d}\theta. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Estimate for $|\theta| \le \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, for any $0 \le t \le 1$, $\langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi) + t\theta\rangle$ and $\langle\xi\rangle$ are equivalent. Indeed, if we use the inequality

$$\langle x\rangle \le 1 + |x| \le \sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi) + t\theta\rangle &\le (C_2\sqrt{2} + \epsilon)\langle\xi\rangle \\ \sqrt{2}\langle\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi) + t\theta\rangle &\ge 1 + |\nabla_x\psi| - |\theta| \ge \langle\nabla_x\psi\rangle - |\theta| \ge (C_1 - \epsilon)\langle\xi\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so we will take $\epsilon < C_1$. This equivalence means that for $|\theta| \le \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$, functions $r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)$, $s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta)$ and all of their derivatives are dominated by $\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|}$ and $\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+m_4-|\beta|}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}$, respectively. We will need two auxiliary estimates. The first estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial_\theta^{\gamma_1}\left(\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right)\right| &\leq C\sum_{\delta\leq\gamma_1}\left|\partial_\theta^\delta\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\partial_\theta^{\gamma_1-\delta}r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right| \\
&\leq C\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\sum_{\delta\leq\gamma_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\delta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|-|\gamma_1-\delta|} \\
&\leq C\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|-|\gamma_1|}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

follows from the properties of r_α. Before we state the second estimate, let us analyse the structure of $\partial_y^\alpha e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}$. It has at most $|\alpha|$ powers of terms $\nabla_y\psi(y,\xi)-\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)$, possibly also multiplied by at most $|\alpha|$ higher order derivatives $\partial_y^\delta\psi(y,\xi)$, which can be estimated by $(|y-x|\langle\xi\rangle)^{|\alpha|}$ by (2.13). The term containing no difference $\nabla_y\psi(y,\xi)-\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)$ is the product of at most $|\alpha|/2$ terms of the type $\partial_y^\delta\psi(y,\xi)$, which can be estimated by $\langle\xi\rangle^{|\alpha|/2}$ in view of (2.13). Altogether, we obtain the estimate

$$
|\partial_y^\alpha e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}|\leq C_\alpha(1+|y-x|\langle\xi\rangle)^{|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{|\alpha|/2}.
$$

The second auxiliary estimate now is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|D_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}\chi(x,y)\partial_\theta^{\gamma_2}s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta)\right]\right| \\
\leq&(1+|y-x|\langle\xi\rangle)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_4-|\beta|-|\gamma_2|} \\
\leq&(1+|y-x|\langle\xi\rangle)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+m_4+\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}-|\gamma_2|}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.20}
$$

Now we are ready to prove a necessary estimate for $R^I_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)$. Let

$$
L_\theta=\frac{(1-\langle\xi\rangle^2\Delta_\theta)}{1+\langle\xi\rangle^2|x-y|^2},\quad L_\theta^N e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}=e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}.
$$

Integrations by parts with L_θ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^I_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)=&\iint\frac{e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}}{(1+\langle\xi\rangle^2|x-y|^2)^N}(1-\langle\xi\rangle^2\Delta_\theta)^N \\
&\left\{\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)D_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[\chi(x,y)s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta)r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right]\right\}dy\,\bar{d}\theta \\
=&\iint\frac{e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}}{(1+\langle\xi\rangle^2|x-y|^2)^N}\sum_{\substack{some\ \gamma_1,\gamma_2:\\|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|\leq 2N}}c_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|} \\
&\left\{D_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[\chi(x,y)\partial_\theta^{\gamma_2}s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta)\partial_\theta^{\gamma_1}\left(\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\right)\right]\right\}dy\,\bar{d}\theta.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using estimates (2.19), (2.20) for r_α, s_β, and the fact that the size of the support of $\rho(\theta/\langle\xi\rangle)$ in θ is estimated by $(\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle)^n$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|R^I_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)| &\le C \sum_{|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|\le 2N} \langle\xi\rangle^{n+|\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|}\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\alpha|-|\gamma_1|}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+m_4+\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|}{2}-|\gamma_2|}\times \\
&\qquad \times \int_{|y-x|<k}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\frac{(1+|y-x|\langle\xi\rangle)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(1+\langle\xi\rangle^2|x-y|^2)^N}dy \\
&\le C\langle x\rangle^{t_1+m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2+m_2+m_4+2n-\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}\langle z\rangle^{m_3},
\end{aligned}$$

if we choose $2N > M_1+M_2+n$. In the last estimate we have used that for $|x-y|<k$, $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle y\rangle$ are equivalent for large x.

Derivatives of $R^I_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)$ with respect to x and ξ give an extra power of θ under the integral. This amounts to taking more y-derivatives, giving a higher power of $\langle\xi\rangle$. However, this is not a problem if for the estimate for a given number of derivatives of the remainder $R^I_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)$, we choose $M_1=|\alpha|$ and $M_2=|\beta|$ sufficiently large.

3.2. Estimate for $|\theta|>\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$. Let us define

$$\omega(x,y,\xi,\theta)=(x-y)\cdot\theta+\Psi(x,y,\xi)=(x-y)\cdot(\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)+\theta)+\psi(y,\xi)-\psi(x,\xi).$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla_y\omega| = |-\theta+\nabla_y\psi-\nabla_x\psi| \le 2C_2(|\theta|+\langle\xi\rangle), \\
&|\nabla_y\omega| \ge |\theta|-|\nabla_y\psi-\nabla_x\psi| \ge \frac{1}{2}|\theta|+\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{2}-C_0|x-y|\Big)\langle\xi\rangle \ge C(|\theta|+\langle\xi\rangle),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

if we choose $k<\epsilon/2C_0$, since $|x-y|<k$ in the support of χ. Let us denote

$$\sigma_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\xi) := e^{-i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}D_y^{\gamma_1}e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)},\ \chi_{\gamma_2}(x,y) := D_y^{\gamma_2}\chi(x,y),\ s_{\beta\gamma_3} := D_y^{\gamma_3}s_\beta.$$

For any ν we have an estimate $|\partial_y^\nu\sigma_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\xi)| \le \langle\xi\rangle^{|\gamma_1|}$, because of our assumption (2.13) that $|\partial_y^\nu\psi(y,\xi)| \le C_\nu\langle\xi\rangle$. For $M_1=|\alpha|>t_2$ and $M_2=|\beta|>m_4$, we also observe that

$$|r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)| \le C_\alpha\langle x\rangle^{t_1},\ |\partial_y^\nu s_{\beta\gamma_3}(y,\xi,z,\theta)| \le C_\beta\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}. \tag{2.22}$$

Let us take ${}^tL_y = i|\nabla_y\omega|^{-2}\sum_{j=1}^n(\partial_{y_j}\omega)\partial_{y_j}$. It can be shown by induction that operator L_y^N has the form

$$L_y^N = \frac{1}{|\nabla_y\omega|^{4N}}\sum_{|\nu|\le N}P_{\nu,N}\partial_y^\nu,\ \ P_{\nu,N} = \sum_{|\mu|=2N}c_{\nu\mu\delta_j}(\nabla_y\omega)^\mu\partial_y^{\delta_1}\omega\cdots\partial_y^{\delta_N}\omega, \tag{2.23}$$

where $|\mu| = 2N, |\delta_j| \geq 1, \sum_1^N |\delta_j| + |\nu| = 2N$. It follows from (2.13) that $|P_{\nu,N}| \leq C(|\theta| + \langle\xi\rangle)^{3N}$. By Leibnitz formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi) = \\
&= \iint e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}\left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\times \\
&\quad \times D_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}\chi(x,y)s_\beta(y,\xi,z,\theta)\right] dy đ\theta \\
&= \iint e^{i\omega(x,y,\xi,\theta)}\left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\times \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3=\alpha+\beta} \sigma_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\xi)\chi_{\gamma_2}(x,y)s_{\beta\gamma_3}(y,\xi,z,\theta) dy đ\theta \qquad (2.24)\\
&= \iint e^{i\omega(x,y,\xi,\theta)}|\nabla_y\omega|^{-4N}\sum_{|\nu|\leq N} P_{\nu,N}(x,y,\xi,\theta)\left(1-\rho\left(\frac{\theta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\right)\right) r_\alpha(x,\xi,\theta)\times \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3=\alpha+\beta} \partial_y^\nu\left(\sigma_{\gamma_1}(x,y,\xi)\chi_{\gamma_2}(x,y)s_{\beta\gamma_3}(y,\xi,z,\theta)\right) dy đ\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows now from (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)| &\leq C\int_{|\theta|>\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle}\int_{|y-x|<k}(|\theta|+\langle\xi\rangle)^{-N}\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\langle y\rangle^{m_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3} dy d\theta \\
&\leq C\langle x\rangle^{t_1+m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+|\alpha|+|\beta|}\int_{|\theta|>\epsilon\langle\xi\rangle}|\theta|^{-N}d\theta \\
&\leq C\langle x\rangle^{t_1+m_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+|\alpha|+|\beta|+n-N},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired estimate for $N > m_2 + |\alpha| + |\beta| + n$. For the derivatives of $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)$, similar to Part 3.1 for $R^I_{\alpha\beta}$, we can get an extra power of θ, which can be taken care of by choosing large N and using the fact that $|x-y| < k$. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is now complete. □

In the proof of the second part of Theorem 3.5 we will need to have stronger decay properties for the amplitudes, so now we will formulate the corresponding counterpart of Lemma 2.3:

Proposition 2.4. *Under conditions of Lemma 2.3, assume that stronger estimates*

$$|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\partial_z^\gamma\partial_\eta^\delta b(y,\xi,z,\eta)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle y\rangle^{m_1-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\eta\rangle^{m_4-|\delta|} \qquad (2.25)$$

and

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\eta^\beta q(x,\eta)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{t_1-|\alpha|}\langle\eta\rangle^{t_2-|\beta|} \qquad (2.26)$$

hold. Assume also that phase function $\psi(x,\xi)$ satisfies in addition estimates

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\psi(x,\xi)| \leq C_\alpha\langle x\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\psi(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}, \qquad (2.27)$$

for all multi-indices α and β with $|\beta| \geq 1$, and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we can improve estimate (2.14) *as*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1+t_1-|\alpha|}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+t_2+m_4}. \qquad (2.28)$$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. In the case of proving estimate (2.28), we have to go back and modify the proof of Lemma 2.3 to adopt it to the required decay properties. We will assume the notation of the proof of Lemma 2.3 and will indicate the differences for the present case. The main difference is that now we will work with a different cut-off function $\chi = \chi(x,y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \le \chi \le 1$, $\chi(x,y) = 1$ for $|x-y| < \frac{k}{2}\langle x\rangle$ and $\chi(x,y) = 0$ for $|x-y| > k\langle x\rangle$, for some small $0 < k < 1$ to be chosen later.

1. Estimate for $|x-y| \ge k\langle x\rangle$. The proof goes similar to the corresponding proof of the first part of Lemma 2.3. In the estimate for c^I in (2.16) we observe that the domain of the integration in the last integral changes from $|y| > k$ to $|y| > k\langle x\rangle$, thus introducing the additional factor of $\langle x\rangle^{-N}$ for any N, if we choose $2N_1 > m_1 + 2N_3 + n + N$. Therefore, the part c^I of the amplitude c is actually decaying as any negative power of $\langle x\rangle$ in this case.

2. Estimates for $|x-y| < k\langle x\rangle$. In Part 2 of the proof of Lemma 2.3 we established the formula for the terms in the asymptotic expansion of c^{II}, given by

$$c_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi) = \partial_\xi^\alpha q(x, \nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)) D_y^{\alpha+\beta}\left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}\chi(x,y)\partial_\eta^\beta b(y,\xi,z,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))\right]|_{y=x}.$$

Now, differentiation of $\partial_\xi^\alpha q(x,\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))$ with respect to x brings the decay by $\langle x\rangle^{-1}$ in view of assumptions (2.26) and (2.27). Differentiation of χ and b with respect to both x and y also gives a factor of $\langle x\rangle^{-1}$ on the support of χ. Finally, since

$$\Psi(x,y,\xi) = \phi(y,\xi) - \phi(x,\xi) + (x-y)\cdot\nabla_x\phi(x,\xi),$$

we have $\nabla_y e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}|_{y=x} = 0$ and $D_y^\alpha\Psi(x,y,\xi)|_{y=x} = D_x^\alpha\phi(x,\xi)$ for $|\alpha| \ge 2$. Thus, by the product rule applied to the derivatives $D_y^{\alpha+\beta}$, function $c_{\alpha\beta}$ contains derivatives of χ and b, multiplied by terms of the form $D_x^\alpha\phi(x,\xi)$ coming from the differentiation of the exponential $e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}$ at $y = x$. Differentiation of such terms with respect to x also brings the decay of order $\langle x\rangle^{-1}$, thus establishing the required decay property (2.28) for functions $c_{\alpha\beta}$.

3. Estimates for the remainder $R_{\alpha\beta}$. Here again we follow the proof of Part 3 of Lemma 2.3, adopting all its notation.

3.1. In the region $|\theta| \le \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$, the definition of r_α in (2.17) and assumptions (2.26) and (2.27) imply that $\partial_x^\gamma r_\alpha$ brings an additional decay by $\langle x\rangle^{-|\gamma|}$ compared to that of r_α. Now, differentiation of $R^I_{\alpha\beta}$ in (2.18) gives this decay when the x–derivatives fall on r_α. If the x–derivatives fall on the exponential $e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}$, they give additional powers of θ, which transform into y–derivatives once we integrate by parts with respect to y. Since y–derivatives of both χ and s_β bring decay in y, and hence also in $\langle x\rangle$ in view of $\langle x\rangle \le C\langle y\rangle$ on the support of χ (we choose k to be sufficiently small), we establish (2.28) for functions $R^I_{\alpha\beta}$.

3.2. In the region $|\theta| > \epsilon\langle\xi\rangle$, we note first that the estimate $|\nabla_y\omega| \ge C(|\theta| + \langle\xi\rangle)$ in (2.21) is still valid in view of (2.27), if we choose $k > 0$ to be sufficiently small compared to ϵ.

Now, we will show that under assumptions of Proposition 2.4, $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}$ is actually of order $\langle x\rangle^{-N}$ in x, for any N. We note that we have $|\partial_y^\delta\omega| \le C_\delta\langle y\rangle^{1-|\delta|}(|\theta| + \langle\xi\rangle)$, so that function $P_{\nu,N}$ in (2.23) can be estimated by $|P_{\nu,N}| \le C\langle y\rangle^{|\nu|-N}(|\theta| + \langle\xi\rangle)^{3N}$, since $\sum_1^N(1 - |\delta_j|) = N - (2N - |\nu|) = |\nu| - N$. On the other hand, functions

$\sigma_{\gamma_1}, \chi_{\gamma_2}$ and $s_{\beta\gamma_3}$ give the improvement by $\langle y\rangle^{-|\nu|}$ when differentiated ν times with respect to y. It follows that $\partial_y^\nu(\sigma_{\gamma_1}\chi_{\gamma_2}s_{\beta\gamma_3})$ has growth in y of at most $\langle y\rangle^{m_3-|\nu|}$. Consequently, we obtain that the function under the last integral in (2.24) is of order $\langle y\rangle^{(|\nu|-N)+(m_3-|\nu|)} = \langle y\rangle^{m_3-N}$ in y. Since y is equivalent to x on the support of χ for small k, we see from (2.24) that $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the estimate

$$|R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}(x,z,\xi)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{t_1+m_1-N}\langle z\rangle^{m_3}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_2+|\alpha|+|\beta|+n-N}. \tag{2.29}$$

Now, let us show that differentiations (especially with respect to x) guarantee orders of other variables as in (2.28). The definition of r_α in (2.17) and assumptions (2.26) and (2.27) imply that $\partial_x^\gamma r_\alpha$ introduces an additional factor bounded by $\langle x\rangle^{-|\gamma|}\langle\xi\rangle^{|\gamma|}$ compared to that of r_α. Differentiating $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}$ in (2.24) with respect to x, by the product rule we get that if the derivatives fall on either r_α or χ, we get the additional decay in $\langle x\rangle$ times possible growth in $\langle\theta\rangle$. If they fall on the exponential $e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta}$, we integrate by parts with respect to y to get the decay in $\langle y\rangle$ times possible (polynomial) growth in $\langle\theta\rangle$. Since $\langle y\rangle \geq C\langle x\rangle$ on the support of χ, in both cases we get the improvement in $\langle x\rangle$ compensated by possible growth of the same order in $\langle\theta\rangle$. Derivatives of $e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)}$ may give growth in x, y or ξ, but this is compensated by the arbitrarily fast decay in these variables. Altogether, since in (2.29) and in (2.24) we get the overall decay of $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}$ to be of any desired order in all x, ξ and θ, this compensates possible additional growth that we obtain by differentiating $R^{II}_{\alpha\beta}$. This completes the proof of (2.28). □

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain two composition theorems.

Theorem 2.5 (Composition PT)**.** *Let operator T be defined by*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)}a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dy\bar{d}\xi.$$

Let the phase $\phi = \phi(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$C_1\langle\xi\rangle \leq \langle\nabla_x\phi(x,\xi)\rangle \leq C_2\langle\xi\rangle, \text{ for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$, and such that for all $|\alpha|, |\beta| \geq 1$ we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_\alpha\langle\xi\rangle, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}, \text{ for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $a = a(x,y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3}, \tag{2.30}$$

for all α,β,γ, and all $x,y,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $p = p(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all α,β satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta p(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2-|\beta|}, \text{ for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.31}$$

Then the composition $B = P(x,D)\circ T$ is an operator of the form

$$Bu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-z\cdot\xi)}c(x,z,\xi)u(z)dz\bar{d}\xi,$$

with amplitude $c(x,z,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_z^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1+t_1}\langle z\rangle^{m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2}, \tag{2.32}$$

for all α, β, γ, and all $x, z, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion, improving in ξ:

$$c(x,z,\xi) \sim \sum_\alpha \frac{i^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \nabla_x \phi(x,\xi)) \partial_y^\alpha \left[e^{i\Psi(x,y,\xi)} a(y,z,\xi) \right] |_{y=x},$$

where $\Psi(x,y,\xi) = \phi(y,\xi) - \phi(x,\xi) + (x-y) \cdot \nabla_x \phi(x,\xi)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Formula for $c(x,z,\xi)$ is given in (2.1) and (2.2). The rest follows from Lemma 2.3 with $\psi = \phi$, $q = p$, and $b(y,\xi,z,\eta) = a(y,z,\xi)$, with a different notation for the orders. Asymptotic expansion follows from (2.15) if we notice that we only have terms with $\beta = 0$. $\square$

Remark 2.6. As in Remark 2.2, an additional decay of a implies the same decay property of new amplitude. For example, if we assume stronger estimate

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1} \langle y \rangle^{m_2 - |\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3}$$

instead of (2.30), then we can improve estimate (2.32) as

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1 + t_1} \langle z \rangle^{m_2 - |\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3 + t_2}. \tag{2.33}$$

In fact, from the expression (2.2), we obtain

$$\langle z \rangle^\beta \partial_z^\beta c(x,z,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(y,\xi) - \phi(x,\xi) + (x-y)\cdot\eta)} \langle z \rangle^\beta (\partial_z^\beta a)(y,z,\xi) p(x,\eta) dy đ\eta$$

and the conclusion (2.33) follows from (2.32) if we regard $\langle y \rangle^\beta (\partial_y^\beta a)(x,y,\xi)$ as $a(x,y,\xi)$ in (2.30).

For the additional decay properties with respect to x we have the following:

Remark 2.7. Under conditions of Theorem 2.5, assume that stronger estimates

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1 - |\alpha|} \langle y \rangle^{m_2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3}$$

and

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{t_1 - |\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{t_2 - |\beta|}$$

hold instead of (2.30) and (2.31). Assume also that phase function $\phi(x,\xi)$ satisfies in addition estimates

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \phi(x,\xi)| \le C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|},$$

for all multi-indices α and β with $|\beta| \ge 1$, and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we can improve estimate (2.32) as

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,z,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1 + t_1 - |\alpha|} \langle z \rangle^{m_2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3 + t_2}. \tag{2.34}$$

To prove the statement of Remark 2.7 we use Proposition 2.4 with $\psi = \phi$, $q = p$, and $b(y,\xi,z,\eta) = a(y,z,\xi)$. Assumptions of Remark 2.7 then guarantee that assumptions of Proposition 2.4 are satisfied, so (2.28) implies (2.34).

The composition formula for TP is given in Theorem 2.1 under less restrictive conditions on the phase. However, the following theorem shows that if the amplitude $a(x,y,\xi)$ has decay properties in y, the amplitude of the composition TP can be made dependent on two variables only.

Theorem 2.8 (Composition TP). *Let operator T be defined by*

$$Tu(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)}a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dy đ\xi.$$

Let the phase $\phi=\phi(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$C_1\langle x\rangle\le\langle\nabla_\xi\phi(x,\xi)\rangle\le C_2\langle x\rangle,\ \text{for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.35}$$

for some $C_1,C_2>0$, and such that for all $|\alpha|,|\beta|\ge 1$ we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta\phi(x,\xi)|\le C_\beta\langle x\rangle,\ \ |\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\phi(x,\xi)|\le C_{\alpha\beta},\ \text{for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.36}$$

Let $a=a(x,y,\xi)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)|\le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m_1}\langle y\rangle^{m_2-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3}, \tag{2.37}$$

for all α,β,γ, and all $x,y,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $p=p(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all α,β satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta p(x,\xi)|\le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{t_1-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{t_2},\ \text{for all } x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then the composition $B=T\circ P(x,D)$ is an operator of the form

$$Bu(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{i\phi(x,\xi)}c(x,\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi)đ\xi,$$

with amplitude $c(x,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta c(x,\xi)|\le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2+t_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_3+t_2},$$

for all α,β,γ, and all $x,z,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion, improving in x:

$$c(x,\xi)\sim\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{i^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}{\alpha!\beta!}\partial_x^\alpha p(\nabla_\xi\phi(x,\xi),\xi)\partial_\eta^{\alpha+\beta}\left[e^{i\Psi(\eta,\xi,x)}\partial_y^\beta a(x,\nabla_\xi\phi(x,\xi),\eta)\right]|_{\eta=\xi},$$

where $\Psi(\eta,\xi,x)=\phi(x,\xi)-\phi(x,\eta)+(\eta-\xi)\cdot\nabla_\xi\phi(x,\xi)$.

Proof. Let us rename the variables

$$x'=\eta,y'=\xi,\xi'=x,\eta'=y.$$

Then formula (2.4) becomes

$$c_2(\xi',x')=\iint e^{i(\psi(y',\xi')-\psi(x',\xi')+(x'-y')\cdot\eta')}b(y',\xi',\eta')q(x',\eta')dy'đ\eta',$$

if we choose $\psi(x',\xi')=\phi(\xi',x'),q(x',\eta')=p(\eta',x')$, and $b(y',\xi',z,\eta')=a(\xi',\eta',y')$. Then we can apply Lemma 2.3 to complete the proof. $\square$

If we apply Theorem 2.8 with $p=1$, we can simplify the amplitude of the operator T. Operators of such type is a simplified version of Fourier integral operators and the local versions are described by, for example, Kumano-Go [Ku1], Stein [St], and many other authors. This allows one to make representations for operators as oscillatory integrals to depend on fewer variables.

Corollary 2.9. *Let T be the operator from Theorem 2.8 with phase ϕ satisfying (2.35), (2.36), and amplitude satisfying (2.37). Then T can be written in the form*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi(x,\xi)} c(x,\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi) đ\xi,$$

with amplitude $c(x,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta c(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2} \langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},$$

for all α, β, and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover,

$$c(x,\xi) \sim \sum_\beta \frac{i^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} \partial_\eta^\beta \left[e^{i\Psi(\eta,\xi,x)} \partial_y^\beta a(x,y,\eta)|_{y=\nabla_\xi \phi(x,\xi)} \right] |_{\eta=\xi},$$

where Ψ is as in Theorem 2.8.

We can apply Corollary 2.9 to pseudo-differential operators to obtain a the standard quantisation for pseudo-differential operators with amplitudes having decay in only one of the variables. Different quantisations of operators are possible, including symbols of the type $c(tx+(1-t)y,\xi)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, with Weyl quantization given by $t = 1/2$. However, for the purposes of this paper and for simplicity we will restrict our attention to the case $t = 1$. Other cases may be done with small modifications.

Corollary 2.10. *Let T be an operator of the form*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x,y,\xi) u(y)\, dy\, đ\xi,$$

with amplitude $a = a(x,y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x\rangle^{m_1} \langle y\rangle^{m_2-|\beta|} \langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},$$

for all α, β, γ, and all $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then T can be written in the form of a pseudo-differential operator

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi} c(x,\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi) đ\xi,$$

with amplitude $c(x,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta c(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2} \langle \xi\rangle^{m_3},$$

for all α, β, and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$c(x,\xi) \sim \sum_\beta \frac{i^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_y^\beta a(x,y,\xi)|_{y=x}.$$

Note that from all asymptotic expansions it is clear that if a has additional decay with respect to some variables, so does the new amplitude (see Remarks 2.2, 2.6 and 2.7 for such conclusions).

3. WEIGHTED ESTIMATES IN SOBOLEV SPACES

Again, we will be studying operators

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)} a(x,y,\xi)u(y)\,dy\,đ\xi \tag{3.1}$$

with real-valued phase $\phi = \phi(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and amplitude $a = a(x,y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. In [RS4], we proved weighted L^2 estimates for such operators, also giving explicit estimates on the required number of derivatives of phase and amplitude. In Theorem 3.5 we will give weighted Sobolev estimates for these operators.

We will say that $f \in H^{s_1,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Pi_{s_1,s_2} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where Π_{s_1,s_2} is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\pi_{s_1,s_2}(x,\xi) = \langle x\rangle^{s_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{s_2}$. We can also define operators $\widetilde{\Pi}_{s_1,s_2}$ with symbols $\widetilde{\pi}_{s_1,s_2}(y,\xi) = \langle y\rangle^{s_1}\langle\xi\rangle^{s_2}$. Then it can be shown (e.g. [Co2]) that $\widetilde{\Pi}_{-s_1,-s_2} = \Pi^{-1}_{s_1,s_2}$. Also, Sobolev space defined by $\widetilde{\Pi}_{s_1,s_2}$, i.e. the space of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\widetilde{\Pi}_{s_1,s_2} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, coincides with $H^{s_1,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The strategy for obtaining estimates in weighted Sobolev spaces will be to use the calculus developed in the previous section to reduce the problem to global L^2 estimates for model cases. We will first formulate global L^2 estimates for operators of the form (3.1). In fact, under certain conditions, we will reduce them to operators of a simplified form, for which we have the following result, which appeared as a special case of Theorem 2.5 in [RS4]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let operator T be defined by*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))} a(y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi.$$

Let the real-valued phase $\varphi = \varphi(y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$|\det \partial_y\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)| \geq C > 0, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\left|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\right| \leq C_\alpha, \qquad \left|\partial_y\partial_\xi^\beta\varphi(y,\xi)\right| \leq C_\beta$$

for all $1 \leq |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq 2n+2$ and all $y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $a = a(y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy

$$\left|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta a(y,\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta},$$

for all $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq 2n+1$, and all $y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the operator T is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-bounded, and satisfies

$$\|T\|_{L^2\to L^2} \leq C \sup_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\leq 2n+1} \left\|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta a(y,\xi)\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 2.5 in [RS4], we treated the case that conditions on the phase φ are imposed only on a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which contains the support of a. In such case, however, condition (3.2) must be replaced by

$$|\partial_\xi\varphi(x,\xi) - \partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)| \geq C|x-y|, \quad |\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi) - \partial_y\varphi(y,\eta)| \geq C|\xi-\eta|. \tag{3.3}$$

We remark that if condition (3.2) is satisfied for all $y,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as in the case of Theorem 3.1, then the global inverses of $\nabla_\xi\varphi(\cdot,\xi)$ and $\nabla_y\varphi(y,\cdot)$ exist, and condition (3.3) is implied by the mean value theorem applied to them.

Now, we can use this result to treat operators of the form (3.1):

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be defined by*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi)-y\cdot\xi)} a(x,y,\xi)u(y)\, dy\, đ\xi.$$

Let the real-valued phase $\phi = \phi(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some positive constants and all $|\alpha|, |\beta| \geq 1$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &|\det \partial_x\partial_\xi\phi(x,\xi)| \geq C_0 > 0, \\ &\exists x_\beta : |\partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x_\beta,\xi)| \leq C_\beta, \\ &|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let amplitude $a = a(x,y,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x\rangle^m \langle y\rangle^{-m-|\beta|}, \text{ for all } \alpha,\beta,\gamma, \text{ and all } x,y,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then T is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.4. There is an alternative formulation of Theorem 3.3 if we impose conditions (3.4) on the support of a only, similar to Theorem 2.5 in [RS4] (see Remark 3.2). However, in this case we also need to assume that

$$\langle x\rangle \leq C\langle \nabla_\xi \phi(x,\xi)\rangle$$

on the support of a as well, since it does not automatically follow from conditions (3.4) .

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By Corollary 2.9 we can reduce T to

$$Su(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi(x,\xi)} c(x,\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi) đ\xi,$$

with amplitude satisfying $|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta c(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}$, for all α, β. We only need to check that conditions (2.35) of Theorem 2.8 on the phase are fulfilled. For x_β as in (3.4), and some z we have

$$\partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi) - \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x_\beta,\xi) = \nabla_x \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(z,\xi)(x - x_\beta).$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\langle \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)\rangle \leq 1 + |\partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)| \leq 1 + C|x - x_\beta| + C_\beta \leq C\langle x\rangle.$$

So assumption (2.35) is fulfilled if we show that $\langle x\rangle \leq C_1\langle \nabla_\xi \phi(x,\xi)\rangle$. From the first inequality in (3.4), we obtain inequality

$$|\nabla_\xi \varphi(x,\xi) - \nabla_\xi \varphi(x_\beta,\xi)| \geq C|x - x_\beta|, \text{ for all } x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

(see Remark 3.2). Now estimate $\langle x\rangle \leq C_1\langle \nabla_\xi \phi(x,\xi)\rangle$ follows from the second inequality in (3.4). The adjoint S^* of S satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.1, so it is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. ☐

Now we will apply this as well as the calculus developed in the previous section to obtain estimates in weighted Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 3.5. *Let operator T be defined by*

$$Tu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\phi(x,\xi) - y\cdot\xi)} a(x,y,\xi) u(y)\, dy\, {\mathchar'26\mkern-12mu d}\xi.$$

Let the real valued phase $\phi = \phi(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $|\alpha|, |\beta| \geq 1$ and all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy

$$(3.5) \qquad |\det \partial_x \partial_\xi \phi(x,\xi)| \geq C_0 > 0, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Assume one of the following:

1. *For all α, β, and γ, assume*
$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1} \langle y \rangle^{m_2 - |\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3},$$
and for all $|\beta| \geq 1$, assume
$$\exists x_\beta : |\partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x_\beta, \xi)| \leq C_\beta$$
for all $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
2. *For all α, β, and γ, assume*
$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{m_1 - |\alpha|} \langle y \rangle^{m_2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_3},$$
and for all α and $|\beta| \geq 1$, assume
$$|\partial_x^\alpha \phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \phi(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|},$$
for all $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then T is bounded from $H^{s_1,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{s_1-m_1-m_2, s_2-m_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let us first note that for $f \in H^{s_1,s_2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||Tf||_{H^{s_1-m_1-m_2,s_2-m_3}} &\leq ||\Pi_{s_1-m_1-m_2,s_2-m_3} Tf||_{L^2} \\ &\leq ||\Pi_{s_1-m_1-m_2,s_2-m_3} T \widetilde{\Pi}_{-s_1,-s_2}||_{L^2\to L^2} ||\Pi_{s_1,s_2} f||_{L^2} \\ &\leq C||f||_{H^{s_1,s_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

if we show that $\Pi_{s_1-m_1-m_2,s_2-m_3} T \widetilde{\Pi}_{-s_1,-s_2}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume (1). If we apply Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 (and also the argument of Remarks 2.2 and 2.6) to the composition $\langle D \rangle^{s_2-m_3} T \langle D \rangle^{-s_2}$, we can conclude that $S = \Pi_{s_1-m_1-m_2,s_2-m_3} T \widetilde{\Pi}_{-s_1,-s_2}$ is an operator of the form (3.1) with amplitude $c(x,y,\xi)$ satisfying

$$(3.6) \qquad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{s_1-m_2} \langle y \rangle^{m_2-s_1-|\beta|},$$

for all $\alpha\,\beta, \gamma$, and for all $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here we have used the fact that conditions (3.5) guarantee conditions on the phase function in Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 (similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3). Now, in the case (1), by Theorem 3.3 we obtain that S is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now assume (2). By Remark 2.7 we get that the amplitude of the operator S above is again of the form (3.1) and it satisfies estimate

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma c(x,y,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle x \rangle^{s_1-m_2-|\alpha|} \langle y \rangle^{m_2-s_1},$$

again implying the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$–boundedness of S by Theorem 3.1 from [RS4].

□

4. Global smoothing for hyperbolic equations

In this section we will consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - a(D_x)u = 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The estimate of Theorem 4.1 of this section can be also viewed as a quantitative way to express the fact that space decay of Cauchy data u_0 translates into the time decay of the solution u.

Let us assume that operator $a(D_x)$ has a real valued smooth symbol $a = a(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\nabla a(\xi) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This condition makes the equation "dispersive", ensuring that the singularities will escape to infinity as $t \to \pm\infty$. The dispersiveness is in general necessary to have the smoothing properties for evolution equations, although some smoothing still takes place without this assumption (see [RS6]).

Assume also that

$$a(\xi) = a_1(\xi) + a_0(\xi) \quad \text{for large } \xi,$$

where $a_1 = a_1(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$ is a positively homogeneous function of order 1 (i.e. $a_1(\lambda\xi) = \lambda a_1(\xi)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \neq 0$) satisfying $\nabla a_1(\xi) \neq 0$ for all $\xi \neq 0$, and $a_0 = a_0(\xi) \in S^0$ is a symbol of order zero, i.e. a smooth function such that for every multi-index α there exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha a_0(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We note that from these assumptions it follows that a itself is a symbol of order one.

In the case $n = 1$, we can easily see that the following estimate for the solution $u = u(t,x)$ of (4.1) is true for $s > 1/2$:

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-s} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^n_x)} \leq C||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that if $a(\xi)$ itself is homogeneous, then $u(t,x)$ is just a translation of u_0, so (4.2) trivially holds. In [RS2] we have presented a result (which in turn follows from more general results proved in [RS6]) that implies that estimate (4.2) is also true for all $n \geq 1$. Analysis of previous sections allows us to apply this to obtain further global properties of solutions to (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u(t,x)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem* (4.1). *Then for $s > 1/2$ and for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we have estimates*

$$||t^k \langle x\rangle^{-k} \langle x\rangle^{-s} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^n_x)} \leq C_k||\langle x\rangle^k u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where constant C_k is independent of Cauchy data u_0.

Proof. For $k = 0$ formula (4.3) is the same as (4.2). Let now $k \geq 1$. We want to show that estimate

$$||t^k \langle x\rangle^{-s_k} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^n_x)} \leq C_k||\langle x\rangle^k u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}, \tag{4.4}$$

holds for $s_k > k + \frac{1}{2}$.

The solution $u = u(t,x)$ to (4.1) is given by

$$u(t,x) = T_t u_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi + ta(\xi)]} u_0(y) dy đ\xi.$$

Let $\sigma(X,D)$ be a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\sigma(x,\xi) = x\cdot\nabla a(\xi)$. Let us denote

$$\tau(t,x,\xi) := \sigma(x + t\nabla a(\xi),\xi) = (x + t\nabla a(\xi))\cdot\nabla a(\xi).$$

Taking $\phi(x,\xi) = x\cdot\xi + ta(\xi)$, it is straightforward to see that function Ψ defined in Theorem 2.8 is

$$\Psi(\eta,\xi,x) = x\cdot(\xi-\eta) + t(a(\xi) - a(\eta)) + (\eta-\xi)\cdot(x + t\nabla a(\xi)).$$

We can observe that $\nabla_\eta e^{i\Psi(\eta,\xi,x)}|_{\eta=\xi} = 0$. We can also observe that the corresponding function $\Psi(x,y,\xi)$ in Theorem 2.5 is identically zero. Since σ is affine in x, it follows from Theorems 2.5 and 2.8 that

$$\tau(t,X,D)\circ T_t = T_t\circ\sigma(X,D).$$

Now, taking $\sigma(X,D)u_0$ as the Cauchy data in (4.1) and using (4.2) with $s > 1/2$, we get

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-s} T_t\circ\sigma(X,D)u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \le C||\sigma(X,D)u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}.$$

This means that we have the estimate

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-s}(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D)u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \le C||x\cdot\nabla a(D)u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}$$

for $s > 1/2$. Since $\nabla a(D)$ is a vector of pseudo-differential operators of order zero, we have the estimate

$$||x\cdot\nabla a(D)u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \le C||\langle x\rangle u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}$$

using the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of the operator $x\cdot\nabla a(D)\langle x\rangle^{-1}$ by Theorem 3.5. Applying the same argument k times, we get estimates

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-s}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^l u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \le C||\langle x\rangle^l u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)}, \tag{4.5}$$

for all $l = 1,\ldots,k$ and $s > 1/2$. Now, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &||t^k\langle x\rangle^{-s_k} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \\ &= ||\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}|\nabla a(D)|^{-2k}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D) - x\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \\ &\le C||\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D) - x\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where we have eliminated $|\nabla a(D)|^{-2k}$ from the estimate using the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of the operator $\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}|\nabla a(D)|^{-2k}\langle x\rangle^{s_k}$ by Theorem 3.5. Now, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &||\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D) - x\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \\ &\le \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l||\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}\left[x\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^{k-l}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^l u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)} \\ &\le \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l||\langle x\rangle^{-s_k+k-l}\left[(x + t\nabla a(D))\cdot\nabla a(D)\right]^l u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t\times\mathbb{R}^n_x)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where once again we used the weighted $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$–boundedness of $|\nabla a(D)|^{k-l}$ to eliminate it from the last inequality. We also note that operators in (4.7) are of the same form, or are scalar, and they satisfy weighted $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$–boundedness, so they have good commutator properties as well. Since

$$s_k - k + l > k + \frac{1}{2} - k + l \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

we can use (4.5). Combining it with (4.6) and (4.7), we conclude that

$$||t^k \langle x\rangle^{-s_k} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^n_x)} \leq C||\langle x\rangle^k u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)},$$

completing the proof of (4.3). □

We remark that estimate (4.3) with $k = 1$ is sharp in the sense that in general it does not hold for $s = 1/2$. For example, its failure can be easily seen for $n = 1$ and $a(\xi) = \xi$.

We have the following version of Theorem 4.1 if $a(\xi)$ itself is positively homogeneous of order one. In this case $a(\xi)$ has a singularity at the origin which causes a small additional complication when we eliminate operators of the form $|\nabla a(D)|^{-2k}$ from weighted estimates. In this case we have the following corollary from the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *If $a(\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of order one with $\nabla a(\xi) \neq 0$ for all $\xi \neq 0$, estimate* (4.3) *of Theorem 4.1 still holds for $0 \leq k < \frac{n-1}{2}$.*

To justify it, we note first that operator $|\nabla a(D)|$ has symbol which is positively homogeneous of order zero. Consequently, for any l, operators $\langle x\rangle^{-s_k}|\nabla a(D)|^l\langle x\rangle^{s_k}$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-bounded for $-\frac{n}{2} < s_k < \frac{n}{2}$ (see Kurtz and Wheeden [KW], for example). Since we also need $s_k > k + \frac{1}{2}$, we see that the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 remains valid for $k < \frac{n-1}{2}$.

References

[AF] K. Asada and D. Fujiwara, *On some oscillatory integral transformations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **4** (1978), 299–361.

[BD] M. Ben-Artzi and A. Devinatz, *Local smoothing and convergence properties of Schrödinger type equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **101** (1991), 231–254.

[BK] M. Ben-Artzi and S. Klainerman, *Decay and regularity for the Schrödinger equation*, J. Analyse Math. **58** (1992), 25–37.

[BBR] P. Boggiatto, E. Buzano and L. Rodino, *Global hypoellipticity and spectral theory*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Bo] A. Boulkhemair, *Estimations L^2 precisees pour des intégrales oscillantes*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **22** (1997), 165–184.

[Ca] M. Cappiello, *Fourier integral operators of infinite order and applications to SG–hyperbolic equations*, Tsukuba J. Math. **28** (2004), 311–361.

[CV] A. P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt, *On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 374–378.

[Ch] A. G. Childs, *On the L^2-boundedness of pseudo-differential operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1976), 252–254.

[CM] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque **57** (1978).

[CS] P. Constantin and J. C. Saut, *Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 413–439.

[Co] H. O. Cordes, *On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudodifferential operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **18** (1975), 115–131.

[Co2] H. O. Cordes, *The technique of pseudodifferential operators*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[Cor] S. Coriasco, *Fourier integral operators in SG classes I: composition theorems and action on SG Sobolev spaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino **57** (1999), 249–302.

[KY] T. Kato and K. Yajima, *Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 481–496.

[Ku] H. Kumano-go, *A calculus of Fourier integral operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the fundamental solution for an operator of hyperbolic type*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **1** (1976), 1–44.

[Ku1] H. Kumano-go, *Pseudo-differential operators*, MIT Press, 1981.

[KW] D. S. Kurtz and R. L. Wheeden, *Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **255** (1979), 343–362.

[R] M. Ruzhansky, *Singularities of affine fibrations in the regularity theory of Fourier integral operators*, Russian Math. Surveys **55**, 99–170 (2000).

[RS] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global L^2 estimates for a class of Fourier integral operators with symbols in Besov spaces*, Russian Math. Surv. **58** (2003), 1044–1046.

[RS2] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *A new proof of global smoothing estimates for dispersive equations*, Advances in pseudo-differential operators, 65–75, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 155, Birkhauser, Basel, 2004.

[RS3] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *A smoothing property of Schrödinger equations in the critical case*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), 645–673.

[RS4] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global L^2 boundedness theorems for a class of Fourier integral operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), 547–569.

[RS5] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global calculus of Fourier integral operators, weighted estimates, and applications to global analysis of hyperbolic equations*, in Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 164, 65–78, 2006.

[RS6] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Smoothing estimates for evolution equations via canonical transforms and comparison*, arXiv:math/0612274.

[Si] B. Simon, *Best constants in some operator smoothness estimates*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 66–71.

[Sj] P. Sjölin, *Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 699–715.

[St] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

[Su] M. Sugimoto, *L^2-boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988), 105–122.

[V] L. Vega, *Schrödinger equations: Pointwise convergence to the initial data*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 874–878.

[Wa] B. G. Walther, *A sharp weighted L^2-estimate for the solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 381–393.

Michael Ruzhansky:
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London
180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address m.ruzhansky@imperial.ac.uk

Mitsuru Sugimoto:
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science
Osaka University
Machikaneyama-cho 1-16, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
E-mail address sugimoto@math.wani.osaka-u.ac.jp